arXiv:1405.6356v1 [math.GN] 25 May 2014

NONEXISTENCE OF COUNTABLE EXTREMALLY DISCONNECTED GROUPS WITH MANY OPEN SUBGROUPS

OLGA V. SIPACHEVA

Abstract. It is proved that the existence of a countable extremally disconnected Boolean topological group containing a family of open subgroups whose intersection has empty interior implies the existence of a rapid ultrafilter.

1. Preliminaries

The problem of the existence in ZFC of a nondiscrete Hausdorff extremally disconnected topological group was posed by Arhangel'skii in 1967 and has been extensively studied since then. Several consistent examples have been constructed [2–7], but Arhangel'skii's problem remains unsolved.

All (consistent) examples of extremally disconnected groups known to the author have a base of neighborhoods of the identity element consisting of subgroups. The main result of this paper is that a countable ZFC example cannot be constructed in this way; to be more precise, we prove that the existence of a countable extremally disconnected Boolean topological group containing a family of open subgroups whose intersection has empty interior (or, equivalently, admitting a continuous isomorphism onto the direct sum $\bigoplus_\omega \mathbb{Z}_2$ of countably many copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ with the product topology) implies the existence of a rapid ultrafilter. Thus, if there exists in ZFC a countable nondiscrete extremally disconnected group, then there must exist such a group without open subgroups.

Recall that a topological space is said to be *extremally disconnected* if the closure of any open set in this space is open (or, equivalently, the closures of two disjoint open sets are disjoint). Malykhin [4] showed that any extremally disconnected topological group must contain an open (and therefore closed) Boolean subgroup (i.e., a subgroup consisting of elements of order 2). Thus, in studying the existence of extremally disconnected groups, it suffices to consider Boolean groups.

Any countable Boolean group is a countable-dimensional vector space over the field $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and, therefore, can be represented as the set of finitely supported maps $g\colon \omega \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ (i.e., is isomorphic to the direct sum $\bigoplus_\omega \mathbb{Z}_2$ of countably many copies of $\mathbb{Z}_2$). Any such isomorphic representation $\varphi\colon G \to \bigoplus_\omega \mathbb{Z}_2$ is determined by the choice of a basis $E = \{e_n : n \in \omega\}$ in G for which

$\varphi(e_i) = (\underbrace{0,\dots,0}_{i \text{ times}},1,0,0\dots)$. We assume $\bigoplus_\omega \mathbb{Z}_2$ to be endowed with the product topology. Thus, each isomorphism $\varphi\colon G \to \bigoplus_\omega \mathbb{Z}_2$ induces a topology on G, which we refer to as the *product topology induced* by φ (or *associated* with the corresponding basis E).

Given an isomorphism $\varphi\colon G \to \bigoplus_\omega \mathbb{Z}_2$, we can treat elements of $G \cong \bigoplus_\omega \mathbb{Z}_2$ as maps to $\mathbb{Z}_2$ finitely supported on ω, so that the maps

$$\min\colon G \to \omega, \qquad \min g = \min \operatorname{supp} g,$$

and

$$\max\colon G \to \omega, \qquad \max g = \max \operatorname{supp} g$$

are defined.

We denote the zero element of G by $\mathbf{0}$.

All topological groups under consideration are assumed to be Hausdorff.

Given $k, n \in \omega$, we write $[k,n]$ for $\{i \in \omega : k \le i \le n\}$. The sign $\bigsqcup$ denotes disjoint union. Thus, $S = \bigsqcup_{n\in\omega} S_n$ means that the S_i form a disjoint partition of S.

An ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on ω is a *P-point ultrafilter* if, given any partition $\omega = \bigsqcup_{n\in\omega} A_n$ with $A_n \notin \mathcal{U}$, $n \in \omega$, there exists an $A \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $|A \cap A_n| < \aleph_0$ for any n. The nonexistence of P-point ultrafilters is consistent with ZFC [8].

An ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on ω is *selective*, or *Ramsey*, if, given any partition $\omega = \bigsqcup_{n\in\omega} A_n$ with $A_n \notin \mathcal{U}$, $n \in \omega$, there exists an $A \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $|A \cap A_n| \le 1$ for any n.

An ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on ω is said to be *rapid* if every function $\omega \to \omega$ is majorized by the increasing enumeration of some element of $\mathcal{U}$.

Any Ramsey ultrafilter is rapid (and a P-point). The nonexistence of rapid ultrafilters is consistent with ZFC [9].

Note that if X and Y are sets, $\mathcal{U}$ is an ultrafilter on X, and $f\colon X \to Y$ is a map, then the family $\mathcal{V} = \{A \subset Y : f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an ultrafilter on $f(X)$. We denote it by $f(\mathcal{U})$.

2. Statements

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem. *The existence of a countable extremally disconnected Boolean topological group containing a family of open subgroups whose intersection has empty interior implies the existence of a rapid ultrafilter.*

Corollary 1. *The nonexistence of a countable extremally disconnected Boolean topological group containing a family of open subgroups whose intersection has empty interior is consistent with ZFC.*

The proof of the theorem uses the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Suppose that G is a countable Boolean topological group which can be represented as $G \cong \bigoplus_\omega \mathbb{Z}_2$ in such a way that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for any function } f\colon \omega \to \omega, \text{ the set} \\ &U_f = \{x \neq \mathbf{0} : \max x > f(\min x)\} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\} \\ &\text{contains an open neighborhood of } \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Let $f\colon \omega \to \omega$ be any increasing function, and let V be a neighborhood of zero such that $2V \subset U_g$ for $g\colon \omega \to \omega$ defined by $g(n) = f(2^{n+1})$. Then the increasing enumeration $\{m_0, m_1, \dots\}$ of $\max(V \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})$ majorizes f, i.e., $m_i > f(i)$ for all $i \in \omega$.

Lemma 2. *Let G be a countable nondiscrete Boolean topological group which contains a (countable) decreasing family of open subgroups $G_i \subset G$, $i \in \omega$, with $\bigcap G_i = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Then there exists a continuous isomorphism $\varphi\colon G \to \bigoplus_\omega \mathbb{Z}_2$, i.e., an isomorphism φ such that the product topology on G induced by φ is contained in the given topology.*

These lemmas also imply the following assertions.

Corollary 2. *The existence of a countable nondiscrete group G satisfying condition (1) for some representation $G \cong \bigoplus_\omega \mathbb{Z}_2$ implies the existence of a rapid ultrafilter.*

Proof. Any nonprincipal ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on G converging to zero contains all neighborhoods of zero. Hence $\max \mathcal{U}$ contains $\max V$ for any neighborhood V of zero and is therefore rapid. □

It is easy to see that, for any countable Boolean group G with a fixed isomorphism $\varphi\colon G \to \bigoplus_\omega \mathbb{Z}_2$ and any map $f\colon \omega \to \omega$, the set

$$C_f = G \setminus U_f = \{x \in G \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} : \max x \leq f(\min x)\}$$

is discrete in the product topology induced by φ, and $C_f \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$ is closed in this topology. This, together with Lemma 2, implies the following corollary, which gives a partial answer to Protasov's question on the existence in ZFC of a countable nondiscrete topological group in which all discrete subsets are closed (see [10, Chapter 13, Question 16].

Corollary 3. *Let (G, τ) be a countable nondiscrete Boolean topological group. Suppose that G has no discrete subsets with a unique limit point and contains a family of open subgroups $G_i \subset G$, $i \in \omega$, such that $\bigcap G_i = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Then there exists a rapid ultrafilter.*

In the next corollary, by a maximal nondiscrete group topology we mean a nondiscrete group topology which is maximal among all nondiscrete group topologies.

Corollary 4. *The following statement is consistent with ZFC: Any countable Boolean group with a maximal nondiscrete group topology contains a discrete subset with a unique limit point.*

Proof. This statement is true in any model of ZFC containing no rapid ultrafilters. Indeed, let G be a countable Boolean group with a maximal nondiscrete group topology τ. Choose any basis E in the vector space G. If τ contains the product topology $\mathbb{T}$ associated with E, then we can apply Corollary 3. If $\tau \not\supset \mathbb{T}$, then the maximality of τ implies the existence of neighborhoods of zero U_0 in τ and V_0 in $\mathbb{T}$ with $U_0 \cap V_0 = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. For each $f\colon \omega \to \omega$, all sets $\{x \in C_f : \min x \le n\}$ are finite and, hence,

$$\{U \cap C_f : \mathbf{0} \in U \in \tau\} \supset \{V \cap C_f : \mathbf{0} \in V \in \mathbb{T}\}.$$

Consequently, G satisfies condition (1) with $V = U_0$, and Corollary 2 applies. □

3. Proofs

The short proof of Lemma 1 presented below was found and kindly communicated to the author by E. A. Reznichenko.

Proof of Lemma 1. Note that if $X \subset G \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $|X| > 2^k$, then there are $x, y \in X$ for which $\min(x+y) > k$: it suffices to take any x and y whose first k coordinates coincide. This observation was essentially made in [10, Proof of Theorem 5.19, Case 2].

Let $\{m_0, m_1, \dots\}$ be the increasing enumeration of $\max(V \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\})$. For each $i \in \omega$, choose $x_i \in V$ with $\max x_i = m_i$. We have $m_0 > g(\min x_0) > f(\min x_0) \ge f(0)$. Let us show that $m_n > f(n)$ for any $n > 0$. Take k for which $2^k < n \le 2^{k+1}$. It follows from the above observation that $\min(x_i + x_j) > k$ for some $i < j \le n$. Clearly, $\max x_j = m_j > m_i = \max x_i$ implies $\max(x_i + x_j) = \max x_j$. We have

$$f(n) \le f(2^{k+1}) = g(k) \le g(\min(x_i + x_j)) < \max(x_i + x_j) = m_j \le m_n.$$

□

Proof of Lemma 2. We treat G as a vector space over the field $\mathbb{Z}_2$ and the G_i as its subspaces. Obviously, to prove the lemma, it suffices to construct a basis $E = \{e_n : n \in \omega\}$ such that, for every $i \in \omega$, there exists a $J_i \subset \omega$ for which $G_i = \langle e_n : n \in J_i\rangle$. Indeed, if E is such a basis, then the assumption $\bigcap G_i = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ implies $\bigcap J_i = \varnothing$, and all linear spans $\langle e_k : k \ge n\rangle$ (which form a base of neighborhoods of zero in the product topology associated with the basis E) are open as subgroups with nonempty interior.

In each (nontrivial) quotient space G_i/G_{i+1}, we take a basis $\{\varepsilon_\alpha : \gamma \in I_i\}$, where $|I_i| = \dim G_i/G_{i+1}$, and let e_α be representatives of ε_γ in G_i. We assume the (at most countable) index sets I_i to be well ordered and disjoint, let $I = \bigcup_{i\in\omega} I_i$, and endow I with the lexicographic order (for $\alpha, \beta \in I$, we say that $\alpha < \beta$ if $\alpha \in I_i$, $\beta \in I_j$, and either $i < j$ or $i = j$ and $\alpha < \beta$ in I_i). For any $i \in \omega$ and $\alpha \in I_i$, we define H_α to be the subspace of G spanned by $\{e_\beta : \alpha \in I_i, \beta \ge \alpha\}$ and G_{i+1}. Thus, H_β is defined for each $\beta \in I$; moreover, if $\beta, \gamma \in I$ and $\beta < \gamma$ in I, then $H_\beta \supset H_\gamma$, and if α is the least element of I_i, then $H_\alpha = G_i$. This means that the subspaces H_α form a decreasing

(with respect to the order induced by I) chain of subspaces refining the chain $G_0 \supset G_1 \supset \dots$. Note that the lexicographic order on I is a well-order, so for each $\alpha \in I$, its immediate successor $\alpha + 1$ is defined; by construction, we have $\dim H_\alpha / H_{\alpha+1} = 1$ for every $\alpha \in I$.

Clearly, it suffices to construct a basis $E' = \{e'_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$ with the property

$$e'_\alpha \in H_\alpha \setminus H_{\alpha+1} \qquad \text{for every} \quad \alpha \in I; \tag{$\star$}$$

the required basis E is then obtained by reordering E'. Moreover, property ($\star$) ensures the linear independence of E', so it is sufficient to construct a set of vectors spanning G with this property.

Take any basis $E'' = \{e''_n : n \in \omega\}$ in G. We construct E' by induction on n.

Let α_0 be the (unique) element of I for which $e''_0 \in H_{\alpha_0} \setminus H_{\alpha_0+1}$. We set $e'_{\alpha_0} = e''_0$.

Suppose that k is a positive integer and we have already defined elements $\alpha_i \in I$ and vectors e'_{α_i} for $i < k$ so that $e'_{\alpha_i} \in H_{\alpha_i} \setminus H_{\alpha_i+1}$ and $\langle e'_{\alpha_0}, \dots, e'_{\alpha_{k-1}} \rangle = \langle e''_0, \dots, e''_{k-1} \rangle$. Take the (unique) $\alpha \in I$ for which $e''_k \in H_\alpha \setminus H_{\alpha+1}$. If α is unoccupied, that is, $\alpha \neq \alpha_i$ for any $i < k$, then we set $\alpha_k = \alpha$ and $e'_{\alpha_k} = e''_k$. If α is already occupied, i.e., $\alpha = \alpha_m$ for some $m < k$, then we take the (unique) $\beta \in I$ for which $e''_k + e'_{\alpha_m} \in H_\beta \setminus H_{\beta+1}$. (Clearly, $\beta > \alpha$, because $\dim H_\alpha / H_{\alpha+1} = 1$ and $e''_k, e'_{\alpha_m} \in H_\alpha \setminus H_{\alpha+1}$). If β is unoccupied, then we set $\alpha_k = \beta$ and $e'_{\alpha_k} = e''_k + e'_{\alpha_m}$; if $\beta = \alpha_l$ for $l < k$, then we take the (unique) $\gamma \in I$ for which $e''_k + e'_{\alpha_m} + e'_{\alpha_l} \in H_\gamma \setminus H_{\gamma+1}$ (clearly, $\gamma > \beta > \alpha$), and so on. Only finitely many $(k-1)$ indices from I are occupied; therefore, after finitely many steps, we obtain $e''_k + e'_{\alpha_m} + e'_{\alpha_l} + \dots + e'_{\alpha_s} \in H_\delta \setminus H_{\delta+1}$ for an unoccupied index δ. We set $\alpha_k = \delta$ and $e'_{\alpha_k} = e''_k + e'_{\alpha_m} + e'_{\alpha_l} + \dots + e'_{\alpha_s}$.

As a result, we obtain a set of vectors $E' = \{e'_{\alpha_n} : n \in \omega\}$ such that $e'_{\alpha_n} \in H_{\alpha_n} \setminus H_{\alpha_n+1}$ and $\langle e'_{\alpha_0}, \dots, e'_{\alpha_n} \rangle = \langle e''_0, \dots, e''_n \rangle$ for every $n \in \omega$. The latter means that E' spans G, because so does the basis E''.

Formally, it may happen that not all of the indices $\alpha \in I$ are occupied, that is, $\{\alpha_n : n \in \omega\} = J \subsetneq I$. In this case, we take arbitrary vectors $e'_\alpha \in H_\alpha \setminus H_{\alpha+1}$ for $\alpha \in I \setminus J$ and put them to E'. The set E' thus enlarged satisfies condition ($\star$) and is therefore linearly independent; thus, it cannot differ from the initial E', because the latter spans G, and J in fact coincides with I, i.e., $E' = \{e'_{\alpha_n} : n \in \omega\} = \{e'_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$. This completes the proof of the lemma. □

Proof of the theorem. Let G be a countable extremally disconnected Boolean group, and let $G_i \subset G$, $i \in \omega$, be its open (and hence closed) subgroups such that the intersection $\bigcap_{i\in\omega} G_i$ has empty interior. Then the quotient of G by $\bigcap_{i\in\omega} G_i$ is Hausdorff, nondiscrete, and extremally disconnected (being an open image of an extremally disconnected group). Thus, we can assume without loss of generality that $\bigcap_{i\in\omega} G_i = \{\mathbf{0}\}$. We can also assume that $G_{i+1} \subset G_i$. According to Lemma 2, there exists a continuous isomorphism $\varphi\colon G \to \bigoplus_\omega \mathbb{Z}_2$.

If G satisfies condition (1) for min and max associated with this isomorphism, then the existence of a rapid ultrafilter follows by Lemma 1. Suppose that G does not satisfy (1), i.e., there exists a function $f\colon \omega \to \omega$ such that $\mathbf{0}$

is a limit point of C_f, where

$$C_f = U_f \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} = \{x \in G \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} : \max x \le f(\min x)\}.$$

Let us enumerate C_f as $\{c_0, c_1, \dots\}$ in such a way that

$$\min c_k < \min c_n \implies k < n. \tag{2}$$

This can be done, because all sets of the form $\{x \in C_f : \min x = n\}$ are finite. For each $n \in \omega$, we set

$$U_{c_n} = \{x \in G : \min x > f(\min c_n)\} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

All U_{c_n} are open neighborhoods of zero in the product topology on G induced by φ (and, therefore, in the topology of G). Clearly,

$$(c_k + U_{c_k}) \cap (c_n + U_{c_n}) = \varnothing \quad \text{for} \quad k \ne n.$$

Thus, in Zelenyuk's terminology [11], C_f is a strongly discrete set in the extremally disconnected group G, and according to [11, Lemma 2], the subsets of C_f containing $\mathbf{0}$ in their closures form an ultrafilter on C_f. Let us denote it by $\mathcal{U}$. In the proof of Theorem 3 of [11] Zelenyuk showed that this ultrafilter is partially selective. This means that there exists a map $u\colon C_f \to \mathcal{U}$ such that, given any partition $C_f = \bigsqcup_{i\in\omega} A_i$ with $A_i \notin \mathcal{U}$, there exists an $A \in \mathcal{U}$ for which $A \cap A_i \cap u(x) = \varnothing$ whenever $x \in A \cap A_i$. (Formally, Zelenyuk's definition of a partially selective ultrafilter differs from that given above, but it is easy to see that these definitions are equivalent.) Substituting $D = C_f$ in Zelenyuk's proof of his Theorem 3 in [11] (no other substitutions or changes are needed), we see that, for any partition $C_f = \bigsqcup_{i\in\omega} A_i$ with $A_i \notin \mathcal{U}$, there exists an $A \in \mathcal{U}$ such that, for any $i \in \omega$, we have $A \cap A_i \cap U_c = \varnothing$ whenever $c \in A \cap A_i$ (in our terminology, this means that the partial selectivity of $\mathcal{U}$ is witnessed by the map $c \mapsto U_c$.)

Note that, by virtue of the condition (2) on the enumeration of C_f, we have

$$U_{c_n} \cap C_f = \big\{c_i : i \ge \min\{j : \min c_j > f(\min c_n)\}\big\}$$

for each n. Bearing this in mind and using the natural bijection $C_f \rightleftarrows \omega$ defined by $c_n \mapsto n$, we can summarize the preceding paragraph as follows. To each $n \in \omega$ we can assign an $m_n \in \omega$ so that there exists an ultrafilter $\mathcal{V}$ on ω with the following property:

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{for any partition } \omega = \bigsqcup_{i\in\omega} A_i \text{ with } A_i \notin \mathcal{V},\\ &\text{there exists an } A \in \mathcal{V} \text{ such that, for any } i \in \omega,\\ &n \in A \cap A_i \text{ implies } A \cap A_i \cap \{j : j \ge m_n\} = \varnothing. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

This means, in particular, that $\mathcal{V}$ is a P-point ultrafilter. It remains to apply the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *For any ultrafilter $\mathcal{U}$ on ω satisfying condition* (3) *for some correspondence $n \mapsto m_n$, there exists a map $\Phi\colon \omega \to \omega$ such that $\Phi(\mathcal{U})$ is Ramsey.*

Proof. We set $M_0 = m_0$, $M_1 = m_{m_0}$, $M_2 = m_{m_{m_0}}$, and so on; for positive $n \in \omega$, we set

$$M_n = m_{M_{n-1}}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad M_n = m_{\underbrace{m_{m_{\dots m_0}}}_{n \text{ times}}}.$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that the m_n strictly increase. In this case, the M_n strictly increase as well. The required map Φ can be defined as follows: given an integer $i \in \omega$, we find the interval $[M_n, M_{n+1}-1]$ to which it belongs and set $\Phi(i) = n$. The map Φ thus defined contracts each interval of the form $[M_n, M_{n+1}-1]$ to the point n and is surjective.

Let us show that the ultrafilter $\Phi(\mathcal{U})$ is Ramsey. Consider a partition $\omega = \bigsqcup_{i\in\omega} B_i$ with $B_i \notin \Phi(\mathcal{U})$. We must choose $B \in \Phi(\mathcal{U})$ so that $|B \cap B_i| \le 1$ for all $i \in \omega$. Let $A_i = \Phi^{-1}(B_i)$. We have $A_i \notin \mathcal{U}$ and $\omega = \bigsqcup_i A_i$. Take $A \in \mathcal{U}$ as in (3), i.e., such that, for any $i \in \omega$, $n \in A \cap A_i$ implies $A \cap A_i \cap \{j : j \ge m_n\} = \varnothing$. Since $\mathcal{U}$ is an ultrafilter, we can choose A so that either

$$A \cap \bigcup \{[M_n, M_{n+1}-1] : n \text{ is odd}\} = \varnothing$$

or

$$A \cap \bigcup \{[M_n, M_{n+1}-1] : n \text{ is even}\} = \varnothing.$$

Suppose for definiteness that A satisfies the former condition. Let us show that $|\Phi(A) \cap B_i| \le 1$ for all $i \in \omega$. Consider any nonempty intersection $\Phi(A) \cap B_i$. Let n be its least element. We have $n = \Phi(k)$ for some $k \in A \cap A_i$. By assumption, $A \cap A_i \cap \{j : j \ge m_k\} = \varnothing$. We have: $k \in [M_n, M_{n+1}-1]$ (because $\Phi(k) = n$), n is even (by the choice of A), $A \cap [M_{n+1}, M_{n+2}-1] = \varnothing$, and $m_k \in [M_{n+1}, M_{n+2}-1]$ (because $k < M_{n+1}$ and $k \ge M_n$). Hence $A \cap A_i \cap \{j : j \ge M_{n+1}\} = \varnothing$, and

$$\Phi(A) \cap \Phi(A_i) \cap \Phi(\{j : j \ge M_{n+1}\}) = \Phi(A) \cap B_i \cap \{j : j \ge n+1\} = \varnothing.$$

This means that $\Phi(A) \cap B_i = \{n\}$. □

□

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author is very grateful to Evgenii Reznichenko, who suggested the short proof of the main lemma, which greatly shortened and simplified the exposition, and to Anton Klyachko for useful discussions.

References

[1] A. V. Arhangel'skii, "An extremally disconnected bicompactum of weight $\mathfrak{c}$ is inhomogeneous," Soviet Math. Dokl. **8**, 897–900 (1967).

[2] S. Sirota, "The product of topological groups and extremal disconnectedness," Math. USSR-Sb. **8** (2), 169–180 (1969).

[3] A. Louveau, "Sur un article de S. Sirota," Bull. Sci. Math. (France) **96**, 3–7 (1972).

[4] V. I. Malykhin, "Extremally disconnected and similar groups," Soviet Math. Dokl. **16**, 21–25 (1975).

[5] V. I. Malykhin, "On extremally disconnected topological groups," Russian Math. Surveys **34** (6), 67–76 (1979).

[6] E. G. Zelenyuk, "Topological groups with finite semigroups of ultrafilters," Mat. Studii **6**, 41–52 (1996).
[7] E. G. Zelenyuk, "Extremal ultrafilters and topologies on groups," Mat. Studii 14, 121–140 (2000).
[8] S. Shelah, *Proper Forcing* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982).
[9] A. W. Miller, "There are no Q-points in Laver's model for the Borel conjecture," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **78** (1), 103–106, 1980.
[10] Y. G. Zelenyuk, *Ultrafilters and Topologies on Groups* (De Gruyter, Berlin, 2011).
[11] Y. Zelenyuk, "On extremally disconnected topological groups," Topology Appl. **153**, 2382–2385 (2006).

Department of General Topology and Geometry, Mechanics and Mathematics Faculty, Moscow State University
E-mail address: o-sipa@yandex.ru